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#### Abstract

We define the matrix quantum unitary Cayley-Klein groups of the arbitrary dimensions by generalizing the $R$-matrix theory of the quantum groups to the case of the degenerate Hermitic forms. The corresponding quantum groups are obtained from the appropriate classical quantum groups by the contractions.


Faddeev et al [1] have developed the $R$-matrix theory of quantum groups and quantum algebras, which correspond to the (single-parameter) deformation of the classical algebras $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$. For each classical algebra we have built a set of Cayley-Klein algebras, which are obtained from the corresponding classical algebras by the contractions and analytical continuations [2-4]. This letter is devoted to the construction of the quantum matrix unitary Cayley-Klein groups. The $R$-matrix approach [1] and unified description of the Cayley-Klein groups [2] naturally combine to yield the desired groups. We start with the general theory of the quantum matrix unitary Cayley-Klein groups and finally we consider the two-dimensional case of these quantum groups.

Let the $R_{q}$ matrix be as in the case of $A_{n}$ (or $\operatorname{su}(n+1)$ ) [1], i.e.

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{q}=q \sum_{k=0}^{n} e_{k k} \otimes e_{k k}+\sum_{\substack{k, m=0 \\
k \neq w t}}^{n} e_{k k} \otimes e_{m m}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{k, m=0 \\
k>m}}^{n} e_{k m} \otimes e_{m k} \\
\left(e_{k m}\right)_{i j}=\delta_{i k} \delta_{j m} \quad k, m, i, j=0,1, \ldots, n . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us define the algebra $A_{q}(j)$ as the associative $C$-algebra generated by the noncommutative elements
$(T(j))_{k m}=t_{k m} \quad k \geqslant m \quad(T(j))_{k m}=t_{k m} j_{k m}^{2} \quad k<m$
$J_{k m}=1 \quad k \geqslant m \quad J_{k m}=\prod_{r=k+1}^{m} j_{r}, k<m \quad j_{r}=1, \iota_{r}, i \quad r=1,2, \ldots, n$
where $\iota_{r}$ are the dual numbers, which are nilpotent $\iota_{r}^{2}=0$ and obey the commutative laws of multiplication $\iota_{r} \iota_{m}=\iota_{r} \iota_{m} \neq 0, m \neq r,[2,3]$, and factorized by the following relationships:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q} T_{1}(j) T_{2}(j)=T_{2}(j) T_{1}(j) R_{q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}(j)=T(j) \otimes I, T_{2}(j)=I \otimes T(j)$. The algebra $A_{q}(j)$ is called the algebra of functions on the quantum group $\mathrm{GL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$. The matrix $T(j)$ (2) is a multiplicative matrix whose entries generate $A_{q}(j)$ and therefore one has here the matrix quantum group $\mathrm{GL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$. If one introduces the quantum determinant $\operatorname{det}_{q} T(j)$ as usual

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}_{q} T(j)=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Symm}(n+1)}(-q)^{l(\sigma)} J_{0 \sigma_{0}}^{2} t_{0 \sigma_{0}} J_{1 \sigma_{1}}^{2} t_{1 \sigma_{1}} \ldots J_{n \sigma_{n}}^{2} t_{n \sigma_{n}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l(\sigma)$ is the parity of the substitution $\sigma$, and factorizes $A_{q}(j)$ by the relationship $\operatorname{det}_{q} T(j)=1$, then one obtains the algebra $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\operatorname{SL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)\right.$ of functions on the quantum group $\mathrm{SL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$ and the matrix quantum group $\mathrm{SL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$.

The associative algebra generated by $n+1$ generators $\tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{x}_{n}$ divided by the ideal corresponding to the relations $\tilde{x}_{k} \tilde{x}_{m}=q \tilde{x}_{m} \tilde{x}_{k}, 0 \leqslant k<m \leqslant n$ is called the $(n+1)$-dimensional quantum vector space $C_{q, n+1}$. The map

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi & : C_{q, n+1} \mapsto C_{q, n+1}(j)  \tag{5}\\
& x=\Psi(j) \tilde{x} \quad \Psi(j)=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, J_{01}, \ldots, J_{0 n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

is in accordance with the following transformations of the quantum vector Cayley-Klein space $C_{q, n+1}(j)$ by the matrix quantum group $\mathrm{SL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x)=T(j) \otimes x \quad \delta\left(x_{k}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{k} t_{k m} \otimes x_{m}+\sum_{m=k+1}^{n} J_{k m}^{2} t_{k m} \otimes x_{m} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These transformations represent the homomorphisms of quantum spaces.
Let deformation parameter $q \in R$. The matrix quantum unitary Cayley-Klein group $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$ is defined with help of the involution $*$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{t}(j) \Psi^{2}(j) T^{*}(j)=\Psi^{2}(j) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T^{t}$ is the transpose matrix and transforms the quantum Hermitic Cayley-Klein space

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{q, n+1}(j)=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n} \mid x_{k} x_{m}=q x_{m} x_{k}, y_{k} y_{m}=q^{-1} y_{m} y_{k}, k<m, y_{k}^{*}=x_{k}\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x)=T(j) \dot{\otimes} x \quad \delta(y)=S(T(j))^{t} \dot{\otimes} y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is an antipode
$S\left(J_{k m}^{2} t_{k m}\right)=(-q)^{k-m} \tilde{t}_{m k}(j)$
$\tilde{t}_{m k}(j)=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Symm}(n)}(-q)^{l(\sigma)} J_{0 \sigma_{0}}^{2} t_{0 \sigma_{0}} \ldots J_{m-1, \sigma_{m-1}}^{2} t_{m-1, \sigma_{m-1}} J_{m+1, \sigma_{m+1}}^{2} t_{m+1, \sigma_{m+1}} \ldots J_{n \sigma_{n}}^{2} t_{n \sigma_{n}}$
$\sigma=\left(\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m-1}, \sigma_{m+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)=\sigma(0,1, \ldots, k-1, k+1, \ldots, n)$.
This antipode matrix $S(T(j))$ obeys the usual property

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(j) S(T(j))=S(T(j)) T(j)=I \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ransformations (9) keep invariant the quadratic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}^{*} \Psi^{2}(j) x=x_{0}^{*} x_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} J_{0 k}^{2} x_{k}^{*} x_{k} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra Fun( $\left.\mathrm{SL}_{q}(n+1 ; j)\right)$ with the involution (7) is denoted by Fun( $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+$ $1 ; j)$ ) and is called the algebra of functions on the quantum matrix unitary Cayley-Klein group $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$. If one introduces to the algebra $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+1 ; j)\right)$ the coproduct
$\Delta$ and counit $\varepsilon$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta(T(j))=T(j) \dot{\otimes} T(j) \quad \Delta(I)=I \otimes I  \tag{13}\\
& J_{k m}^{2} \Delta\left(t_{k m}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n} J_{k p}^{2} J_{p m}^{2} t_{k p} \otimes t_{p m} \quad \varepsilon(T(j))=I
\end{align*}
$$

then with the antipode (10) we obtain the Hopf algebra structure.
If all parameters $j$ are equal to real unit $j_{1}=\ldots j_{n}=1$, then we are in one-to-one correspondence with $R$-matrix theory of the quantum unitary groups [1]. The pseudoHermitic cases $j_{k}=1, i(k=1, \ldots, n)$ with different signatures have also been regarded by Faddeev et al [1]. But when some parameters $j$ take the dual values, then the matrix $\Psi^{2}(j)$ and Hermitic form $x^{*} \Psi^{2}(j) x$ are degenerate. Such cases have not been considered in the literature [1,5]. The corresponding quantum matrix unitary Cayley-Klein group $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+1 ; j)$ is obtained from the classical quantum matrix unitary group $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(n+1)$ by (multidimensional) contractions.

For the simplest two-dimensional case the $R_{q}$ matrix is in the form

$$
R_{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{14}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q-q^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

and does not depend on the parameter $j_{1}$. This $R_{q}$ matrix is the same for all three quantum matrix Cayley-Klein groups $\mathrm{SU}_{q}\left(2 ; j_{1}\right), j_{1}=1, \iota_{1}, i$. (The corresponding quantum algebras have been considered in [6]). Also we do not transform the quantum parameter $q$ under contractions.

The generating matrix $T\left(j_{1}\right)$ with non-commuting elements is as follows:

$$
T\left(j_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{00} & j_{1}^{2} t_{01}  \tag{15}\\
t_{10} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The commutation relations for their matrix elements follow from equation (3) in the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
t_{10} t_{11}=q t_{11} t_{10} & j_{1}^{2} t_{00} t_{01}=j_{1}^{2} q t_{01} t_{00} \quad j_{1}^{2} t_{01} t_{11}=j_{1}^{2} q t_{11} t_{01} \quad j_{1}^{2} t_{01} t_{10}=j_{1}^{2} t_{10} t_{01} \\
t_{00} t_{10}=q t_{10} t_{00} & t_{00} t_{11}-j_{1}^{2} q t_{01} t_{10}=t_{11} t_{00}-j_{1}^{2} q^{-1} t_{01} t_{10}=\operatorname{det}_{q} T\left(j_{v}\right)=1 . \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

The antipode of $T\left(j_{1}\right)$ is easily obtained and is given by the matrix

$$
S\left(T\left(j_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{11} & -j_{1}^{2} q^{-1} t_{01}  \tag{17}\\
-q t_{10} & t_{00}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For real $q$ the equation (7), with $T\left(j_{1}\right)$ as in equation (15) and the matrix $\Psi^{2}\left(j_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(1, j_{1}^{2}\right)$, is now in the explicit form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t_{00} t_{00}^{*}+j_{1}^{2} t_{10} t_{10}^{*} & j_{1}^{2}\left(t_{00} t_{01}^{*}+t_{10} t_{11}^{*}\right)  \tag{18}\\
j_{1}^{2}\left(t_{01} t_{00}^{*}+t_{11} t_{10}^{*}\right) & j_{1}^{2}\left(t_{11} t_{11}^{*}+j_{1}^{2} t_{01} t_{01}^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & j_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

These equations define the involutive matrix $T^{*}\left(j_{1}\right)$, which for $j_{1} \neq t_{1}$ is as follows:

$$
T^{*}\left(j_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{11} & -j_{1}^{2} q^{-1} t_{10}  \tag{19}\\
-q t_{01} & t_{00}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us regard the contraction $j_{1}=\iota_{1}$. Then we have the matrix

$$
T\left(\iota_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{00} & 0  \tag{20}\\
t_{10} & t_{11}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the following commutation relations for their entries: $t_{00} t_{10}=q t_{10} t_{00}, t_{10} t_{11}=q t_{11} t_{10}$, $t_{00} t_{11}=t_{11} t_{00}$. From $\operatorname{det}_{q} T\left(t_{1}\right)=t_{00} t_{11}=1$ follows $t_{11}=t_{00}^{-1}$ and from the matrix equation (18) we obtain $t_{00} t_{00}^{*}=1, t_{11} t_{11}^{*}=1$ or $t_{00}^{*}=t_{00}^{-1}, t_{11}^{*}=t_{11}^{-1}=t_{00}$. Therefore we can write $t_{00}=\exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right), t_{00}^{*}=\exp \left(-i \varphi_{0}\right), t_{11}=\exp \left(-i \varphi_{0}\right), t_{11}^{*}=\exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right)$. The generator $t_{10}^{*}$ is not expressed by the generators $t_{k m}$ and therefore is an independent generator.

The matrix $T\left(\iota_{1}\right)$, the antipode $S\left(T\left(i_{1}\right)\right)$ and the involutive matrix $T^{*}\left(\iota_{1}\right)$ of the contracted quantum matrix unitary group $\mathrm{SU}_{q}\left(2 ; t_{1}\right)$ now take the final forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& T\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{i \varphi_{0}} & 0 \\
t_{10} & \mathrm{e}^{-i \varphi_{0}}
\end{array}\right) \quad S\left(T\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{-i \varphi_{0}} & 0 \\
-q t_{10} & \mathrm{e}^{i \varphi_{0}}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{21}\\
& T^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{-i \varphi_{0}} & 0 \\
t_{10}^{*} & \mathrm{e}^{i \varphi_{0}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with the commutation relations $\exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right) t_{10}=q t_{10} \exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right), t_{10}^{*} \exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right)=q \exp \left(i \varphi_{0}\right) t_{10}^{*}$. It is noted that the involutive matrix $T^{*}\left(c_{1}\right)(21)$ is not obtained from the involutive matrix $T^{*}\left(j_{1}\right)$ (19) for $j_{1}=\iota_{1}$, because the equation (19) is valid only for $j_{1} \neq \iota_{1}$.

We have generalized the $R$-matrix theory of the quantum unitary groups [1] to the case of the matrix quantum unitary Caley-Klein groups by defining the generating $T(j)$, the antipode $S(T(j))$ and the involutive $T^{*}(j)$ matrices. The main feature of the developed approach is that all the quantum Cayley-Klein groups have the same $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ matrix, i.e. the quantum (or deformation) parameter $q$ is not transformed under transitions from one group to another. As for contractions corresponding to the dual values of the parameters $j$, we conclude that the dual numbers are the real tool to regard the contractions of groups, not only in the classical [2,3], but also in the quantum case (see also [6], where the contractions of the quantum algebras $\mathrm{SU}_{q}(2)$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{q}$ (3) were investigated with the help of the dual units).
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